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Introduction

The Corpus of Folklore Texts in the Languages of Indigenous Peoples of Siberia (http://corpora.iea.ras.ru) is a collection of folklore texts in lesser used, mostly endangered, Siberian languages and was initiated in 2011 through support from the Department of Northern and Siberian Studies at the Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology of the Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS). The main idea was to create a corpus capable of storing both an original version and an orthographically standardized version of any text. Another task was to build a system able to perform some related analytical procedures. At the same time we were aiming at making a significant part of the unknown materials available for researchers and others able to read in the native Siberian languages.

This essay will use the Shor corpus as its main example, though the Teleut, Evenki, or Nenets corpora might easily have been chosen instead. The reasoning behind this choice is the fact that the whole project arose out of my long-term study of the Shor epics. Additionally, the Shor (like the Teleut) materials belong for the most part to me, and I am the primary individual who has been working with them within the frame of this project. It is my hope that this single example will help readers better understand what our Corpus is able to do and how it has been organized.

---

1 I am thankful to the RAS Presidium Corpus Linguistics research initiative (which in its initial phase was headed up by myself until my former Ph.D. student and colleague Dr. Kyrill Shakhovtsov took the lead in 2012) and to the Foundation for Fundamental Linguistic Research (Project A-16-2013) for their support of the Corpus and related projects. My thanks go also to the Institute of Education of Indigenous Peoples of the North, Siberia, and the Far East of the Russian Academy of Education and to the National Research Tomsk State University (in relation to the “Man in a Changing World: Problems of Identity and Social Adaptation in History and at Present” project; RF Government grant No. 14.B25.31.0009) for their assistance that allowed me to focus my attention on working with South Siberian ethnographic data.
The Shors and Their Epics

The Shors are one of Siberia’s smaller populations: 12,888 according to the 2010 Russian census. This ethnic group lives mainly in the south of Western Siberia. Until the early twentieth century they provided a perfect example for illustrating the cultural way of life of taiga hunters, gatherers, and fishermen; they now primarily occupy towns in the southern part of the Kemerovo region, where we currently find about 73% of the entire Shor population. In the last 24 years not only have the Shors dwindled in number, but the percentage of those who command the Shor language has fallen as well. Only a small percentage of the Shor—around 5 to 10% (officially 22%)—are still able to speak Shor as their mother tongue, making it an extremely endangered Turkic language.

This ethnic group is especially well known thanks to its rich heroic epic tradition, examples of which have been recorded over the last 150 years by Wilhelm Radloff, Alexander V. Adrianov, Nadezhda P. Dyrenkova, Georgij F. Babushkin, Alexander I. Smerdov, Olga I. Blagoveshchenskaya, and Andrei I. Čudoyakov, as well as by other scholars, enthusiasts, and even some story-tellers. Like epic tales of many other Turkic-speaking groups of Siberia—and as is also the case for Mongolian heroic epic tales—Shor epics most often involve either one or both of two themes: one where the hero embarks upon a quest for a wife and another where the hero struggles with foreign invaders. But these two main themes can be and still are realized in hundreds of variations or motif-series, using the whole richness of an epic tradition.

I point especially at heroic epics because they are of special value in studying the language; indeed it is through the language of the heroic epics—and this fact has been long ascertained by linguists—that the Shor language achieved its “higher,” one could say “literary,” form. It is in the heroic epics that we discover the richness both of the lexical makeup of the language and of its grammatical structure, with every form from the simplest syntax to the most complex being featured within these works.

---

Fig. 1. Main territories now occupied by the Shors (originally published in Funk and Tomilov 2006:247).

---

2 Basic data about the Shors is taken from Funk and Tomilov 2006.

3 Russian linguists relate the Shor language to the Khakass subgroup of the Uygur-Oguz group within the Eastern-Hun branch of the Turkic languages (Baskakov 1981:20), although one can also consider both the Mras and Kondoma dialects of the Shor to be equally close to Khakass and Teleut respectively, that is, to languages that belong to different groups of the Turkic languages.

4 For further details see Funk 2005:204-51 and 2010-13.


Principles of the Corpus

There are five main principles on which the Corpus is based:

1. To increase the number of epic texts available for researchers. There are at least 265 texts of the Shor epics stored in different archives and/or private collections. From these rich materials there were but 26 epic texts published in the original (Shor) language between 1861 and 2010, and only 17 of them were full-length. Most earlier publications by Radloff (1866) and Dyrenkova (1940) are very difficult to access, especially for the Shors in their places of residence and in some cases even for researchers.

On the other hand, the Shor part of the Corpus is the largest one. It now comprises 183,580 orthographic words in 41 texts and is supplemented by an oral sub-corpus of approximately 30,000 words, currently accessible in audio form only.

Out of the 38 texts that represent the Shor heroic epics there are 27 that are unique to this corpus: they were originally part of my own personal collection and (excepting six texts that appeared recently as part of Funk 2010-13) had not been previously published in any form. There is no other freely accessible corpus in Shor or in any other Siberian Turkic language of comparable volume.

---

7 All figures here and below reflect the state of the Corpus on October 5, 2013.

8 The English version of the website is far from being excellent, so here and below there are some obvious gaps and inexact translations in the images.
2. To reflect the very complicated dialectal system of Siberian languages. Our texts represent both dialects of the Shor language. Strictly speaking there are three sub-dialects, including the literary dialect, and in the very near future there will be at least five. Since the Shor still maintain many sub-dialects (not all of which have been well described scientifically) and the literary form is understudied with its many significantly varying “norms,” the Corpus will not only help safeguard these sub-dialects and study them, but also contribute to the literary form of the language.

3. To include texts that would give researchers the possibility to analyze the language of folklore on the micro-level. The goal here, then, is to be able to perform analyses on the individual epic idiolects of each singer and—if possible—to do so through a large number of texts. The largest part of the Shor epic texts included within the Corpus derives from the repertoire of the prominent Shor storyteller Vladimir Tannagashev, who left us a large heritage in written form. Tannagashev was born on December 10, 1932, into the family of a hunter. For various reasons, he could not complete general education school studies and began to work early—as he would throughout nearly his entire life—at a coal mine. In the 1940s he started his attempts at narrating epic stories; when he was between 35 and 40, he began to perform them in the guttural singing style. Considering the breadth of his repertoire, Tannagashev may well be considered a singular figure. At 70 he told me that during his younger years he had remembered 122 epics. “Now, having gotten old,” he said, he “forgot it all” and could perform only some 70.

Fig. 4. The structure of the Shor Corpus from http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/structure.php.
We worked constantly with this storyteller from 2001 until the end of 2006; apart from making many records of live performances, I also persuaded Tannagashev to write down his repertoire. As a result, there are 32 epic texts, ranging from 20 to 150 pages each, that were recorded by Vladimir Tannagashev at my request. On the whole, in my estimate, there have been about 40 total recordings, of which 36 are available to me. 25 of these texts have been included in their entirety within the Corpus: 20 texts are Tannagashev’s own written versions, and five represent his live performances. If we continue working on the Tannagashev materials, there is a good chance that we will be able to increase the number of his texts to 70-75 since not only did I work with him, but at least one other researcher (L. N. Arbačakova) did as well.

Fig. 5. Vladimir Tannagashev in his apartment (in the kitchen) in the town of Myski, Kemerovo region, 2003. Photo by D. Funk.

Fig. 6. List of epic texts from the Tannagashev’s repertoire in the Shor Corpus from http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/texts.php?performed_by=17.
Another unique figure on the cultural scene of Mountain Shoriya—and even more broadly in Southern Siberia—during the Soviet era was the Shor storyteller and poet Stepan S. Torbokov. Torbokov was born into the family of a hunter on December 24, 1900, in the Tagdagal ulus (Shor settlement) now known as the town Osinniki. He graduated from a parish school at age 12 and was determined to continue his education. However, he did not gain acceptance to the Biisk Catechist College. Instead, in 1927-28 local Soviet authorities sent him to enroll in a month-long course for the preparation of illiteracy eradication specialists in the town of Myski. Having completed it, Torbokov started working at an adult education school, and in 1930, after a short period of training at the Krasnoyarsk Pedagogy School, he became a schoolteacher. Nevertheless, Torbokov continued learning, and he graduated from the Tomsk Institute of Pedagogy in 1943. He retired in 1956 and devoted himself wholly to the mission of recording Shor epics until the end of his life in 1980.

It was during his youth that Torbokov began to perform epic stories about bogatyrs for his co-villagers. By Torbokov’s own estimate, he knew and performed over 40 epics. Already in the 1930s, Torbokov had attempted to write down stories from his repertoire, though the earliest preserved text available today is dated 1941. He further wrote down a couple of stories at the very end of the 1940s, but his main work recording the epics occurred during his retirement in the 1950s and later. The heritage of this outstanding storyteller ended up in various archives, and the work on recovering it is still continuing. In total, the four state archives—in Moscow, Novokuznetsk, Gorno-Altaysk, and Abakan—have preserved for us 40 of these heroic epics. Although every Torbokov text adheres to the bilingual principle—that is, each page of the Shor text is followed by a page of word-for-word Russian translation—unfortunately both the originals and the translations display a rather salient lack of care and also contain numerous stylistic and grammatical errors. In many ways, it is this issue of quality that accounts for the fact that none of these texts has yet been published in an unabridged form. But recently two of his texts have been prepared and one has even been included in its entirety within the Corpus.

It takes a great deal of time and much effort, but in the very near future (depending on time and financial support) I plan to include within the Corpus at least 30 additional epic texts, making them available for the first time. The largest portion will obviously be from Tannagashev’s repertoire, but eight of these texts will represent the epic idiolect of another famous story-teller, Maria Tokmagasheva (1908-1995), with whom I worked in the first half of 1980s.
4. To make all the variant texts available for analysis. We are all aware of the so called “human factor;” we all for various reasons make mistakes. In order to mitigate errors we decided to place in the Corpus all forms of any single text. If one is unsatisfied with a standardized (normalized) version of a given text, one may have a look at an original version of it, for instance, in parallel with the standardized one. And if there are doubts that the original text has been read correctly, one has a chance to look at any page of the original manuscript or to listen to it (in cases where there is an audio record available). An example of such an audio-record can be found at http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/describe_text.php?id=28, where a short excerpt (25 minutes from a 2.5-hour recording) of the epos Chylan-Toochii recorded by me from Vladimir Tannagashev in 2003 is available, accompanied by a transcription completed by L. N. Arbačakova and myself in 2011.

The Corpus is an open resource with very soft terms of use. One may freely use short excerpts (normally, one to three sentences in length) from the texts of the Corpora as examples or illustrations, provided he/she gives due credit to the Linguistic Corpora at IEA RAS project and to the author and/or performer of the text used. If users want to reproduce longer fragments or whole texts in any form, contact should be established with the project leader or the person in charge of a specific corpus in order to negotiate the possibility and conditions of such usage.
5. To make all texts in the Corpus searchable. In other words, the Corpus is not just a store and we are not just collecting texts in an electronic form, but we are making them available for research. For instance, we can choose texts collected in the Low-Mras region from Tannagashev’s repertoire and recorded by him personally on paper. The result is a list of 21 epic tales. After that we can use the option “to compare...” and by clicking on this button we come to a page with four different options, where we can then create in one click a list of (standardized or original) word-forms a) common to all selected texts, b) present in the first but absent from other selected texts, c) present in at least one of the selected texts (thereby creating a dictionary of a given idiolect), and d) unique to the first selected text, that is, absent from every other text in the corpus. As soon as we get a list of all the word-forms we were seeking, we can save them as a file and work on the list later, whenever we want. We can therefore easily

- look up specific word-forms and their contexts;
- find word-forms directly preceding or succeeding the chosen one or find co-occurrences of given word-forms within a certain distance of each other within sentence;
- collect statistical data on the frequency of any word-form, comparing lists of word-forms from any number of texts in the same language;
- compare sentences from any two texts in order to identify recurring expressions of nearly any length. (One does not need to know all the possible expressions or formulas before finding them; the level of closeness can be set between 1 (=100%) and 0.2 (=20%) according to the researcher’s preference.)

![List of Wordforms](http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/wordforms.php)

Fig. 10. A list of word-forms from the epos Ak-Pilek with examples, from http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/wordforms.php.
Fig. 11. Search options, from http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/search.php.

Fig. 12. Information about the word-form pagda (“on a leash”) (with graphic representation and contextual examples), from http://corpora.iea.ras.ru/corpora/describe_word.php?lang_code=cjs&wf_kind=normalised&word=%D0%BF%D0%B0%D2%93%D0%B4%D0%B0.
The standards we initially set for the Corpus can and must be improved. We began the project with the aim of presenting only folklore texts from three ethnic groups (the Shors, the Teleuts, and the Evenki). But now, thanks to the constantly growing interest of our colleagues, we have expanded the Corpus’s borders: it already contains a good number of Nenets texts and some Bashkir texts. What is more, we have changed the main goal of the Corpus to include samples of professional literature, newspapers, and religious as well as other types of texts.

It is hard to predict what the future holds in store for our Corpus. But even now it is quite obvious that the Corpus—realized initially as a simple database—offers a unique possibility to analyze folklore texts in many different ways, making it of especial value for linguists, folklorists, and cultural anthropologists alike.

Moscow State University,
National Research Tomsk State University
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